arXiv:1510.01282v1 [math.FA] 5 Oct 2015

ON THE MAPPINGS CONNECTED WITH PARALLEL ADDITION OF NONNEGATIVE OPERATORS

YU.M. ARLINSKIĬ

ABSTRACT. We study a mapping τ_G of the cone $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded nonnegative self-adjoint operators in a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ into itself. This mapping is defined as a strong limit of iterates of the mapping $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \ni X \mapsto \mu_G(X) = X - X : G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, where $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and $X : G$ is the parallel sum. We find explicit expressions for τ_G and establish its properties. In particular, it is shown that τ_G is sub-additive, homogeneous of degree one, and its image coincides with set of its fixed points which is the subset of $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, consisting of all Y such that $\operatorname{ran} Y^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$. Relationships between τ_G and Lebesgue type decomposition of nonnegative self-adjoint operator are established and applications to the properties of unbounded self-adjoint operators with trivial intersections of their domains are given.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. The mapping μ_G and strong limits of its orbits 4
3. The mapping τ_G 12
4. Lebesgue type decomposition of nonnegative operators and the mapping τ_G 18
5. The mappings $\{\mu_G^{[n]}\}$, τ_G, and intersections of domains of unbounded self-adjoint operators 21
References 26

1. INTRODUCTION

We will use the following notations: $\operatorname{dom} A$, $\operatorname{ran} A$, and $\ker A$ are the domain, the range, and the kernel of a linear operator A, $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} A$ and $\operatorname{clos} \mathcal{L}$ denote the closure of $\operatorname{ran} A$ and of the set $\mathcal{L}$, respectively. A linear operator A in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A05, 47A64, 46B25.
Key words and phrases. Parallel sum, iterates, fixed point.

- bounded from bellow if $(Af,f)\geq m||f||^2$ for all $f\in\operatorname{dom}A$ and some real number m,
- positive definite if $m>0$,
- nonnegative if $(Af,f)\geq 0$ for all $f\in\operatorname{dom}A$.

The cone of all bounded self-adjoint non-negative operators in a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ we denote by $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ be the subset of operators from $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ with trivial kernels. If $A,B\in\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and $C=ABA$, then by Douglas theorem [10] one has $\operatorname{ran}C^{1/2}=A\operatorname{ran}B^{1/2}$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is a subspace (closed linear manifold) in $\mathcal{H}$, then $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the orthogonal projection in $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{K}$, and $\mathcal{K}^{\perp}\stackrel{def}{=}\mathcal{H}\ominus\mathcal{K}$.

Let $X,G\in\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. The *parallel sum* $X:G$ is defined by the quadratic form:

$$((X:G)h,h)\stackrel{def}{=}\inf_{f,g\in\mathcal{H}}\{\,(Xf,f)+(Gg,g):\,h=f+g\,\}\ ,$$

see [1], [13], [17]. One can establish for $X:G$ the following equivalent definition [2], [23]

$$X:G=s-\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}X\left(X+G+\varepsilon I\right)^{-1}G.$$

Then for positive definite bounded self-adjoint operators X and G we obtain

$$X:G=(X^{-1}+G^{-1})^{-1}\ .$$

As is known [23], $X:G$ can be calculated as follows

$$X:G=X-\left((X+G)^{-1/2}X\right)^*\left((X+G)^{-1/2}X\right).$$

Here for $A\in\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ by A^{-1} we denote the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse. The operator $X:G$ belongs to $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and, as it is established in [2], the equality

$$\operatorname{ran}(X:G)^{1/2}=\operatorname{ran}X^{1/2}\cap\operatorname{ran}G^{1/2}\tag{1.1}$$

holds true. If T is bounded operator in $\mathcal{H}$, then in general

$$T^*(A:B)T\leq(T^*AT):(T^*BT)$$

for $A,B\in\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, but, see [5],

$$\ker T^*\cap\operatorname{ran}(A+B)^{1/2}=\{0\}\tag{1.2}$$
$$\Longrightarrow T^*(A:B)T=(T^*AT):(T^*BT).$$

Besides, if $A'\leq A''$, $B'\leq B''$, then $A':B'\leq A'':B''$ and, moreover [23],

$$A_n\downarrow A\quad\text{and}\quad B_n\downarrow B\quad\text{strongly}\Rightarrow A_n:B_n\downarrow A:B\quad\text{strongly}.\tag{1.3}$$

Let $X, G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. Since $X \le X+G$ and $G \le X+G$, one gets

$$X = (X+G)^{1/2} M (X+G)^{1/2}, \qquad G = (X+G)^{1/2}(I-M)(X+G)^{1/2} \tag{1.4}$$

for some non-negative contraction M on $\mathcal{H}$ with $\operatorname{ran} M \subset \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(X+G)$.

Lemma 1.1. [5] *Suppose $X, G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and let M be as in* (1.4). *Then*

$$X : G = (X+G)^{1/2}(M - M^2)(X+G)^{1/2}.$$

Since

$$\operatorname{ran} M^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} (I-M)^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} (M-M^2)^{1/2},$$

the next proposition is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.1, cf. [13], [23].

Proposition 1.2. *1)* $\operatorname{ran} (X:G)^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$.

2) The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $X : G = 0$;
(ii) $M^2 = M$, *i.e., the operator M in* (1.4) *is an orthogonal projection in* $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(X+G)$;
(iii) $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$.

Fix $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and define a mapping

$$\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \ni X \mapsto \mu_G(X) \stackrel{def}{=} X - X : G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}). \tag{1.5}$$

Then

(1) $0 \le \mu_G(X) \le X$,
(2) $\mu_G(X) = X \iff X : G = 0 \iff \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$.

Therefore, if G is positive definite, then the set of fixed points of μ_G consists of a unique element, the trivial operator. Denote by $\mu_G^{[n]}$ the nth iteration of the mapping μ_G, i.e., for $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$

$$\mu_G^{[2]}(X) = \mu_G(\mu_G(X)),\ \mu_G^{[3]}(X) = \mu_G(\mu_G^{[2]}(X)), \cdots, \qquad \mu_G^{[n]}(X) = \mu_G(\mu_G^{[n-1]}(X)).$$

Since

$$X \ge \mu_G(X) \ge \mu_G^{[2]}(X) \ge \cdots \ge \mu_G^{[n]}(X) \ge \cdots,$$

the strong limit of $\{\mu_G^{[n]}(X)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ exists for an arbitrary $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and is an operator from $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. In this paper we study the mapping

$$\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \ni X \mapsto \tau_G(X) \stackrel{def}{=} s - \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_G^{[n]}(X) \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}).$$

We show that the range and the set of fixed points of τ_G coincides with the cone

$$\mathbf{B}^+_G(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{Y \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) : \operatorname{ran} Y^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}\right\} = \left\{Y \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}),\ Y : G = 0\right\}.$$

We find explicit expressions for τ_G and establish its properties. In particular, we show that τ_G is homogenous and sub-additive, i.e., $\tau_G(\lambda X) = \lambda\tau_G(X)$ and $\tau_G(X+Y) \le \tau_G(X) + \tau_G(Y)$ for an arbitrary operators $X, Y \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and an arbitrary positive number λ. It turns out that

$$\tau_G(X) = \tau_{\widetilde{G}}(X) = \tau_G(\widetilde{G} + X)$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, where $\widetilde{G} \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ is an arbitrary operator such that $\operatorname{ran}\widetilde{G}^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$. We prove the equality $\tau_G(X) = X - [G]X$, where the mapping

$$\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \ni X \mapsto [G]X \stackrel{def}{=} s - \lim_{n\to\infty}(nG : X) \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$$

has been defined and studied by T. Ando [3] and then in [22], [15], and [12]. In the last Section 5 we apply the mappings $\{\mu_G^{[n]}\}$ and τ_G to the problem of the existence of a self-adjoint operator whose domain has trivial intersection with the domain of given unbounded self-adjoint operator [20], [9], [13], [11]. Given an unbounded self-adjoint operator A, in Theorem 5.1 we suggest several assertions equivalent to the existence of a unitary operator U possessing the property $U\operatorname{dom} A \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$. J. von Neumann [20, Satz 18] established that such U always exists for an arbitrary unbounded self-adjoint A acting in a separable Hilbert space. In a nonseparable Hilbert space always exists an unbounded self-adjoint operator A such that for any unitary U the relation $U\operatorname{dom} A \cap \operatorname{dom} \ne \{0\}$ holds, see [11].

2. The mapping μ_G and strong limits of its orbits

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F_0 \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. Define the orbit*

$$F_1 = \mu_G(F_0),\ F_2 = \mu_G(F_1), \dots, F_{n+1} = \mu_G(F_n), \dots.$$

Then the sequence $\{F_n\}$ is non-increasing:

$$F_0 \ge F_1 \ge \cdots \ge F_n \ge F_{n+1} \ge \cdots,$$

and the strong limit

$$F \stackrel{def}{=} s - \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n$$

is a fixed point of μ_G, i.e., satisfies the condition

$$F : G = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\mu_G(X) \le X$ for all $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, the sequence $\{F_n\}$ is non-increasing. Therefore, there exists a strong limit $F = s - \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} F_n$. On the other hand, because the sequence $\{F_n\}$ in non-increasing, the sequence $\{F_n : G\}$ is non-increasing as well and property (1.3) of parallel addition leads to

$$s - \lim_{n\to\infty} (F_n : G) = F : G.$$

Besides, the equalities

$$F_n : G = F_n - F_{n+1},\ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

yield $F : G = 0$. Thus, $F = \mu_G(F)$, i.e., F is a fixed point of the mapping μ_G. □

For $G, F_0 \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ define subspaces

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega \stackrel{def}{=} \operatorname{clos}\left\{f \in \mathcal{H} : (G+F_0)^{1/2} f \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\}, \\ &\mathfrak{M} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{H} \ominus \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Note that if a linear operator $\mathcal{V}$ is defined by

$$\begin{cases} x = (G+F_0)^{1/2} f + g \\ \mathcal{V}x = G^{1/2} f,\ f \in \mathcal{H},\ g \in \ker (G+F_0) \end{cases}, \tag{2.2}$$

then $\operatorname{dom} \mathcal{V} = \operatorname{ran} (G+F_0)^{1/2} \oplus \ker (G+F_0)$ is a dense in $\mathcal{H}$ linear manifold and $\mathcal{V}$ is a contraction. Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ be the continuation of $\mathcal{V}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Clearly $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{V}^{**}$. If we denote by $(G+F_0)^{-1/2}$ the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse to $(G+F_0)^{1/2}$, then from (2.2) one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(G+F_0)^{1/2} = G^{1/2} = (G+F_0)^{1/2}\mathcal{V}^*, \\ &\mathcal{V}^* = (G+F_0)^{-1/2} G^{1/2},\ \operatorname{ran} \mathcal{V}^* \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{ran}} (G+F_0), \\ &\mathcal{V}g = G^{1/2}(G+F_0)^{-1/2} g,\ g \in \operatorname{ran} (G+F_0)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover,

$$\Omega = \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, \mathcal{V}^* \oplus \ker (G+F_0),\ \mathfrak{M} = \ker \left(\overline{\mathcal{V}} \restriction \overline{\operatorname{ran}} (G+F_0)\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Besides we define the following contractive linear operator

$$\begin{cases} x = (G+F_0)^{1/2} f + g \\ \mathcal{W}x = F_0^{1/2} f,\ f \in \mathcal{H},\ g \in \ker (G+F_0). \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

The operator $\mathcal{W}$ is defined on $\operatorname{dom} \mathcal{W} = \operatorname{ran} (G+F_0)^{1/2} \oplus \ker (G+F_0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{W}(G+F)^{1/2} = F_0^{1/2} = (G+F_0)^{1/2}\mathcal{W}^*, \\ &\mathcal{W}^* = (G+F_0)^{-1/2} F_0^{1/2},\ \operatorname{ran} \mathcal{W}^* \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{ran}} (G+F_0), \\ &\mathcal{W}h = F_0^{1/2} (G+F_0)^{-1/2} h,\ h \in \operatorname{ran} (G+F_0)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W}^{**}$ be the continuation of $\mathcal{W}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^* = \mathcal{W}^*$. Note that

$$\mathcal{V}^*\overline{\mathcal{V}}h + \mathcal{W}^*\overline{\mathcal{W}}h = h,\ h \in \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G + F_0)$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{N} \stackrel{def}{=} \ker\,(I - \overline{\mathcal{W}}\,\overline{\mathcal{W}}^*). \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\ker \mathcal{W}^* = \ker F_0$, the subspace $\mathfrak{N}$ is contained in $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, F_0$.

Proposition 2.2. *The equalities*

$$\operatorname{ran}\,(I - \overline{\mathcal{W}}\,\overline{\mathcal{W}}^*)^{1/2} = \left\{f \in \mathcal{H} : F_0^{1/2} f \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\} \tag{2.8}$$
$$= \left\{f \in \mathcal{H} : F_0^{1/2} f \in \operatorname{ran}\,(F : G_0)^{1/2}\right\}$$

hold.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{H}_0 \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G + F_0)$. Note that $\ker\,(G + F_0) = \ker G \cap \ker F_0$. Define

$$M_0 \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\mathcal{W}}^*\overline{\mathcal{W}}\restriction \mathcal{H}_0. \tag{2.9}$$

Then $M_0 \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}}^*\overline{\mathcal{V}}\restriction = I_{\mathcal{H}_0} - M_0 = I_{\mathcal{H}_0} - \overline{\mathcal{W}}^*\overline{\mathcal{W}}\restriction \mathcal{H}_0. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.3) and (2.6)

$$F_0^{1/2} f = G^{1/2} h \iff (G + F_0)^{1/2}\mathcal{W}^* f = (G + F_0)^{1/2}\mathcal{V}^* h \tag{2.11}$$
$$\iff \mathcal{W}^* f = \mathcal{V}^* h$$

Equality (2.10) yields

$$\operatorname{ran} \mathcal{V}^* = \operatorname{ran}\,(I_{\mathcal{H}_0} - \overline{\mathcal{W}}^*\overline{\mathcal{W}}\restriction \mathcal{H}_0)^{1/2}$$

Hence (2.11) is equivalent to the inclusion $f \in \operatorname{ran}\,(I - \overline{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}}^*)^{1/2}$. Application of (1.1) completes the proof. □

Thus from (2.7) and (2.11) we get

$$\mathfrak{N} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left\{\operatorname{clos}\left\{g \in \mathcal{H} : F_0^{1/2} g \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\}\right\}. \tag{2.12}$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, $F_0 \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, $F_n \stackrel{def}{=} \mu_G(F_{n-1})$, $n \geq 1$, $F \stackrel{def}{=} s - \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n$. Then*

$$F = (G + F_0)^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{M}} (G + F_0)^{1/2} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$F = F_0^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{N}} F_0^{1/2}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ are given by (2.1) *and* (2.12)*, respectively.*

Proof. From (2.5), (2.5), (2.9), (2.10), (2.4) we have

$$F_0 = (G+F_0)^{1/2} M_0 (G+F_0)^{1/2},$$
$$G = (G+F_0)^{1/2} (I_{\mathcal{H}_0} - M_0)(G+F_0)^{1/2},$$

$\ker (I_{\mathcal{H}_0} - M_0) = \mathfrak{M}$, $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(I - M_0) = \mathcal{H}_0 \ominus \mathfrak{M} = \Omega \ominus \ker (G+F_0)$. Then by Lemma 1.1

$$F_0 : G = (G+F_0)^{1/2} (M_0 : (I_{\mathcal{H}_0} - M_0))(G+F_0)^{1/2}$$
$$= (G+F_0)^{1/2} (M_0 - M_0^2)(G+F_0)^{1/2}.$$

It follows that

$$F_1 = \mu_G(F_0) = F_0 - F_0 : G = (G+F_0)^{1/2} M_0^2 (G+F_0)^{1/2}.$$

Then (further $I = I_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is the identity operator) from (1.2)

$$F_1 : G = (G+F_0)^{1/2} \left((I - M_0) : M_0^2 \right) (G+F_0)^{1/2}$$
$$= (G+F_0)^{1/2} \left((I - M_0) M_0^2 (I - M_0 + M_0^2)^{-1} \right) (G+F_0)^{1/2},$$

$$F_2 \overset{def}{=} \mu_G(F_1) = F_1 - F_1 : G$$
$$= (G+F_0)^{1/2} \left(M_0^2 - (I - M_0) M_0^2 (I - M_0 + M_0^2)^{-1} \right) (G+F_0)^{1/2}$$
$$= (G+F_0)^{1/2} M_0^4 (I - M_0 + M_0^2)^{-1} (G+F_0)^{1/2}.$$

Let us show by induction that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$F_n \overset{def}{=} \mu_G(F_{n-1}) = (G+F_0)^{1/2} M_n (G+F_0)^{1/2} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

(1) $\{M_n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence from $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}_0)$,
(2) $I - M_0 + M_n$ is positive definite,
(3) M_n commutes with M_0,
(4) $M_{n+1} = (I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1} M_n^2$.

All statements are already established for $n = 1$ and for $n = 2$. Suppose that all statements are valid for some n. Further, using the equality $M_0 M_n = M_n M_0$, we have

$$I - M_0 + M_{n+1} = I - M_0 + (I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1} M_n^2$$
$$= (I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1} \left((I - M_0 + M_n)(I - M_0) + M_n^2 \right)$$
$$= (I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1} \left((I - M_0)^2 + M_n (I - M_0) + M_n^2 \right)$$
$$= (I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1} \left(\left((I - M_0) + \frac{1}{2} M_n \right)^2 + \frac{3}{4} M_n^2 \right).$$

Since

$$(I - M_0) + \frac{1}{2}M_n \geq \frac{1}{2}(I - M_0 + M_n),$$

and $I - M_0 + M_n$ is positive definite, we get that the operator $I - M_0 + M_{n+1}$ is positive definite.

$$M_0 M_{n+1} = M_0(I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1}M_n^2 = (I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1}M_n^2 M_0 = M_{n+1}M_0.$$

From (1.2) we have

$$F_{n+1} : G = (G + F_0)^{1/2}((I - M_0) : M_{n+1})(G + F_0)^{1/2} = (G + F_0)^{1/2}(I - M_0)M_{n+1}(I - M_0 + M_{n+1})^{-1}(G + F_0)^{1/2},$$

and

$$F_{n+2} = \mu_G(F_{n+1}) = F_{n+1} - F_{n+1} : G = (G+F_0)^{1/2}\left(M_{n+1} - (I - M_0)M_{n+1}(I - M_0 + M_{n+1})^{-1}\right)(G+F_0)^{1/2} = (G + F_0)^{1/2}(I - M_0 + M_{n+1})^{-1}M_{n+1}^2(G + F_0)^{1/2} = (G + F_0)^{1/2}M_{n+2}(G + F_0)^{1/2}.$$

One can prove by induction that inequality $I - M_n \geq 0$ and the equalities $M_{n+1} = (I - M_0 + M_n)^{-1}M_n^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ imply

$$\ker(I - M_n) = \ker(I - M_0),\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $M = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} M_n$. Then $F = (G + F_0)^{1/2}M(G + F_0)^{1/2}$. Since $M_{n+1}(I - M_0 + M_n) = M_n^2$, we get $(I - M_0)M = 0$. Thus, $\operatorname{ran} M \subseteq \ker(I - M_0)$. Since $M\restriction \ker(I - M_0) = I$, we get $M = P_{\ker(I-M_0)}$. It follows that (2.13) holds true.

The inequalities $0 \leq \mu_G(X) \leq X$ yield $F_n = F_0^{1/2}N_nF_0^{1/2}$, where $\{N_n\}$ is non-increasing sequence from $\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, $0 \leq N_n \leq I$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\ker N_n \supseteq \ker F_0$. Let $N = s - \lim_{n\to\infty} N_n$. Then $F = F_0^{1/2}NF_0^{1/2}$. From (2.5) we have

$$F_0^{1/2} = \mathcal{W}(G + F_0)^{1/2} = (G + F_0)^{1/2}\mathcal{W}^*,$$

Since $M_0 = \overline{\mathcal{W}^*}\overline{\mathcal{W}}\restriction \mathcal{H}_0$ we get and $\overline{\mathcal{W}} = VM_0^{1/2}$, where V is isometry from $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} M_0$ onto $\overline{\operatorname{ran}} F_0$. Thus

$$F_0^{1/2} = VM_0^{1/2}(G + F_0)^{1/2},\ M_0^{1/2}(G + F_0)^{1/2} = V^*F_0^{1/2}.$$

Because $P_{\mathfrak{M}} = M_0^{1/2}P_{\mathfrak{M}}M_0^{1/2}$ we get from $F = (G+F_0)^{1/2}P_{\mathfrak{M}}(G+F_0)^{1/2}$:

$$F = F_0^{1/2}VP_{\mathfrak{M}}V^*F_0^{1/2}.$$

The operator $VP_{\mathfrak{M}}V^*$ is orthogonal projection in $\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, F_0$. Denote $\mathfrak{N}_0 = \operatorname{ran} VP_{\mathfrak{M}}V^* = V\operatorname{ran} P_{\mathfrak{M}}$. From $(G+F_0)^{1/2}M_0^{1/2}h = F_0^{1/2}Vh$, for all $h \in \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, M_0$ we obtain

$$(G+F_0)^{1/2}\varphi = F_0^{1/2}V\varphi,\ \varphi \in \mathfrak{M} = \ker(I_{\mathcal{H}_0} - M_0),$$

and then

$$\varphi = (G+F_0)^{-1/2}F_0^{1/2}V\varphi.$$

Hence

$$(G+F_0)^{-1/2}F_0^{1/2}g = V^*g,\ g = V\varphi \in \mathfrak{N}_0.$$

On the other hand

$$(G+F_0)^{-1/2}F_0^{1/2}x = \overline{\mathcal{W}}^*x \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^*g = V^*g$ for all $g \in \mathfrak{N}_0$. So

$$g \in \mathfrak{N}_0 \iff ||\overline{\mathcal{W}}^*g|| = ||g|| \iff g \in \ker(I - \overline{\mathcal{W}}\,\overline{\mathcal{W}}^*).$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{N}_0$ coincides with $\mathfrak{N}$ defined in (2.7), and (2.14) holds true. □

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose F_0 commutes with G. Then $\mathfrak{N}$ defined in* (2.7) *takes the form $\mathfrak{N} = \ker G \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, F_0$. In particular,*

(1) *if $\ker F_0 \supseteq \ker G$, then $F = 0$,*
(2) *if $F_0 = G$, then $F = 0$,*
(3) *if $\ker G = \{0\}$, then $F = 0$.*

Proof. If $F_0G = GF_0$. Then $F_0^{1/2}(G+F_0)^{-1/2}f = (G+F_0)^{-1/2}F_0^{1/2}f$ for all $f \in \operatorname{ran}(G+F_0)^{1/2}$. Hence, $\mathcal{W}^* = \overline{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W}^{**}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ is nonnegative contraction. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\mathfrak{N} = \ker(I - \overline{\mathcal{W}}^2) = \ker(I - \mathcal{W}^*) = \ker(I - (G+F_0)^{1/2}F_0^{1/2}).$$

Clearly

$$f \in \ker(I - (G+F_0)^{1/2}F_0^{1/2}) \iff f \in \ker G \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, F_0.$$

Furthermore, applying (2.14) we get implications

$$\ker F_0 \supseteq \ker G \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0 = \{0\},$$
$$\ker G = \{0\} \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{M} = \{0\}.$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *If $G \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ and if F_0 is positive definite, then $F = 0$.*

Proof. In the case when F_0 is positive definite the subspace $\mathfrak{M}$ defined in (2.1) can be described as follows: $\mathfrak{M} = (G+F_0)^{1/2}\ker G$. Hence, if $\ker G = \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{M} = \{0\}$ and (2.13) gives $F = 0$.

□

Theorem 2.6. *Let $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, $F_0 \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, $F_{n+1} = \mu_G(F_n)$, $n \geq 0$, $F = \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n$.*

(1) *If $\operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$, then $F = 0$.*
(2) *If $\operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha < 1/2$, then $F = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$. Then $F_0^{1/2}\mathcal{H} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$. From (2.12) and (2.14) it follows $F = 0$.

(2) Suppose $\operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha < 1/2$. Then by Douglas theorem [10] the operator F_0 is of the form

$$F_0 = G^{\alpha} Q_0 G^{\alpha},$$

where Q is positive definite in $\mathcal{H}_0 = \overline{\operatorname{ran}} G$. Hence, $G + G^{\alpha} Q G^{\alpha} = G^{\alpha}(G^{1-2\alpha} + Q_0)G^{\alpha}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_G(F_0) &= \left((G + G^{\alpha}Q_0G^{\alpha})^{-1/2} G^{\alpha}Q_0G^{\alpha}\right)^* (G + G^{\alpha}Q_0G^{\alpha})^{-1/2} G^{\alpha}Q_0G^{\alpha} \\ &= G^{\alpha}Q_0(G^{1-2\alpha} + Q_0)^{-1}Q_0G^{\alpha} = G^{\alpha}\mu_{G^{1-2\alpha}}(Q_0)G^{\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $Q_1 \stackrel{def}{=} \mu_{G^{1-2\alpha}}(Q_0)$ is positive definite. Therefore for $F_1 = \mu_G(F_0)$ possess the property $\operatorname{ran} F_1^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{\alpha}$. By induction we can prove that

$$F_{n+1} = \mu_G(F_n) = G^{\alpha}\mu_{G^{1-2\alpha}}(Q_n)G^{\alpha} = G^{\alpha}Q_{n+1}G^{\alpha}.$$

Using that Q_0 is positive definite and applying Corollary 2.5, we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_n = 0$. Hence

$$F = \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} G^{\alpha}Q_nG^{\alpha} = 0.$$

□

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\lambda > 0$. Define a subspace*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left\{\operatorname{clos}\left\{g \in \mathcal{H} : (\lambda G + F_0)^{1/2} g \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\}\right\}$$

Then

$$(\lambda G + F_0)^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{M}_{\lambda}} (\lambda G + F_0)^{1/2} = F_0^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{N}} F_0^{1/2},$$

where $\mathfrak{N}$ is given by (2.12).

Proof. Replace G by λG and consider a sequence

$$F_0, F_1 = \mu_{\lambda G}(F_0),\ F_n = \mu_{\lambda G}(F_{n-1}), \dots.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} \ominus \left\{\operatorname{clos}\left\{g \in \mathcal{H} : F_0^{1/2} g \in \operatorname{ran}(\lambda G)^{1/2}\right\}\right\} \\ = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left\{\operatorname{clos}\left\{g \in \mathcal{H} : F_0^{1/2} g \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\}\right\} = \mathfrak{N}.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3

$$s-\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n = F_0^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{N}} F_0^{1/2}.$$

On the other side the application of (2.13) gives

$$s-\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n = (\lambda G + F_0)^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{M}_\lambda} (\lambda G + F_0)^{1/2}.$$

□

Theorem 2.8. *Let $G \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$, $\operatorname{ran} G \neq \mathcal{H}$. Let $F_0 \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, $F_n \stackrel{def}{=} \mu_G(F_{n-1})$, $n \geq 1$, $F \stackrel{def}{=} s-\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n$. Then*

$$F \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \Longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_0 \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}), \\ \operatorname{ran}(G+F_0) \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\} \end{array} \right. \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_0 \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}), \\ \operatorname{ran} F_0 \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\} \end{array} \right. .$$

Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $F \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$,

(ii) $\operatorname{ran}(G+F_0)^{1/2} \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G+F_0)^{-1/2} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$,

(iii) *for each converging sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n \in \operatorname{ran} F_0$$

follows that the sequence $\{(G+F_0)^{-1/2} y_n\}$ is diverging,

(iv) $\operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{clos}\left\{ F_0^{-1/2} \left(\operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \right) \right\} = \{0\}$,

(v) *for each converging sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n \in \operatorname{ran} F_0$$

follows that the sequence $\{F_0^{-1/2} z_n\}$ is diverging.

Proof. Clearly $F \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \iff \ker F = \{0\}$. Since $\ker(G+F_0) = \{0\}$, from (2.13), (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) it follows equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \ker F = \{0\} &\iff \Omega \cap \operatorname{ran}(G+F_0)^{1/2} = \{0\} \\ &\iff \operatorname{ran}(G+F_0)^{1/2} \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G+F_0)^{-1/2} G^{1/2} = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

So (i) $\iff$ (ii). In particular

$$\ker F = \{0\} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{ran}(G+F_0)^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran}(G+F_0)^{-1/2} G^{1/2} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{ran}(G+F_0) \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

Assume that $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} F_0 \neq \{0\}$. Then $F_0x = G^{1/2}y$ for some $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Set $z \stackrel{def}{=} y + G^{1/2}x$. Then $F_0x = G^{1/2}(z - G^{1/2}x)$ and $(G + F_0)x = G^{1/2}z$ that contradicts to (2.15).

Conversely, if $\operatorname{ran}(G+F_0) \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \neq \{0\}$, then $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} F_0 \neq \{0\}$. So, (2.15) is equivalent to $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} F_0 = \{0\}$. Note that the latter is equivalent to $F_0^2 : G = 0$.

Suppose $\operatorname{ran}(G + F_0)^{1/2} \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G + F_0)^{-1/2}G^{1/2} \neq \{0\}$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and a vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$(G + F_0)^{1/2}f = \lim_{n\to\infty}(G + F_0)^{-1/2}G^{1/2}x_n$$

Hence $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} G^{1/2}x_n = (G + F_0)f$. Let $y_n = G^{1/2}(x_n - G^{1/2}f)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{y_n\} \subset \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$, $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} y_n = F_0f$, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(G + F_0)^{-1/2}y_n = (G + F_0)^{1/2}f - (G + F_0)^{-1/2}Gf.$$

Conversely, if there is converging sequence $\{y_n = G^{1/2}z_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = F_0f$$

and the sequence $\{(G + F_0)^{-1/2}y_n\}$ converges as well, then from

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} G^{1/2}(z_n + G^{1/2}f) = (G + F_0)f$$

and because the operator $(G + F_0)^{-1/2}$ is closed, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(G + F_0)^{1/2}f &= (G + F_0)^{-1/2}(G + F_0)f \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}(G + F_0)^{-1/2}G^{1/2}(z_n + G^{1/2}f).\end{aligned}$$

This means that $\operatorname{ran}(G + F_0)^{1/2} \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G + F_0)^{-1/2}G^{1/2} \neq \{0\}$. Thus, conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Using (1.1), (2.5), (2.6), (2.8), (2.14), and Theorem 2.3, the equivalences (i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (v) can be proved similarly. □

3. The mapping τ_G

Recall that the mapping μ_G is defined by (1.5) and by $\mu_G^{[n]}$ we denote the nth iteration of the mapping μ_G. Note that

$$\mu_G^{[n+1]}(X) = \mu_G^{[n]}(X) - \mu_G^{[n]}(X) : G,\ n \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\mu_G^{[k]}(X) : G\right) = X - \mu_G^{[n+1]}(X). \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly

$$X \geq \mu_G(X) \geq \mu_G^{[2]}(X) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_G^{[n]}(X) \geq \cdots .$$

Therefore, the mapping

$$\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \ni X \mapsto \tau_G(X) \stackrel{def}{=} s-\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_G^{[n]}(X) \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$$

is well defined. Besides, using (3.1) and the monotonicity of parallel sum, we see that

(1) $\mu_G^{[n]}(X) : G \geq \mu_G^{[n+1]}(X) : G$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,
(2) the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X) : G\right)$ is converging in the strong sense and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X) : G\right) = X - \tau_G(X). \tag{3.2}$$

Hence the mapping τ_G can be defined as follows:

$$\tau_G(X) \stackrel{def}{=} X - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X) : G\right).$$

Most of the following properties of the mapping τ_G are already established in the statements above.

Theorem 3.1. *The mapping τ_G possesses the properties:*

(1) $\tau_G(\mu_G(X)) = \tau_G(X)$ *for all* $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(H)$, *therefore,* $\tau_G(\mu_G^{[n]}(X)) = \tau_G(X)$ *for all natural* n;
(2) $\tau_G(X) : G = 0$ *for all* $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(H)$;
(3) $\tau_G(X) \leq X$ *for all* $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $\tau_G(X) = X \iff X : G = 0 \iff \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$;
(4) $\tau_G(X) = \tau_G(\tau_G(X))$ *for an arbitrary* $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$;
(5) *define a subspace*

$$\mathfrak{M} := \mathcal{H} \ominus \operatorname{clos}\left\{f \in \mathcal{H},\ (G+X)^{1/2} f \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\}, \tag{3.3}$$

then

$$\tau_G(X) = (G+X)^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{M}} (G+X)^{1/2}; \tag{3.4}$$

(6) *define a contraction* $\mathcal{T} = (G+X)^{-1/2} X^{1/2}$ *and subspace*

$$\mathfrak{L} \stackrel{def}{=} \ker\left(I - \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T}\right),$$

then

$$\mathfrak{L} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left\{\operatorname{clos}\left\{g \in \mathcal{H},\ X^{1/2} g \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\}\right\} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\tau_G(X) = X^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{L}} X^{1/2}; \tag{3.6}$$

in particular, if X is positive definite, then $\mathfrak{L} = X^{1/2}\ker G$;

(7) $XG = GX \Longrightarrow \tau_G(X) = X^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{N}} X^{1/2}$, *where $\mathfrak{N}$ takes the form* $\mathfrak{N} = \ker G \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, X$;

(8) $\tau_G(G) = 0$;

(9) $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \Longrightarrow \tau_G(X) = 0$; *in particular,*

$$\tau_G\left(X : G\right) = 0$$

for every $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$;

(10) $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{\alpha}$, $\alpha < 1/2 \Longrightarrow \tau_G(X) = 0$;

(11) $\tau_G(\lambda G + X) = \tau_{\eta G}(X) = \tau_G(X)$ *for all* $\lambda > 0$ *and* $\eta > 0$;

(12) $\tau_G(\xi X) = \xi \tau_G(X)$, $\xi > 0$;

(13) *if* $\operatorname{ran} G_1^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G_2^{1/2}$, *then*

$$\tau_{G_1}(X) = \tau_{G_2}(X) = \tau_{G_1}(G_2 + X) = \tau_{G_2}(G_1 + X)$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$;

(14) *if* $\operatorname{ran} G_1^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} G_2^{1/2}$, *then* $\tau_{G_1}(X) \geq \tau_{G_2}(X)$ *for all* $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$;

(15) $\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \Longrightarrow X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $X^2 : G = 0$;

(16) *the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$,
- (b) $X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $\operatorname{ran}(G+X)^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{clos}\left\{(G+X)^{-1/2} \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\} = \{0\}$,
- (c) $X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and for each converging sequence* $\{y_n\} \subset \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ *such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n \in \operatorname{ran} X$$

it follows that the sequence $\{(G+X)^{-1/2} y_n\}$ is diverging,

- (d) $X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{clos}\left\{X^{-1/2}\left(\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right)\right\} = \{0\}$,
- (e) $X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and for each converging sequence* $\{z_n\} \subset \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ *such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n \in \operatorname{ran} X$$

follows that the sequence $\{X^{-1/2} z_n\}$ is diverging;

(17) *and if X is a compact operator, then X is a compact operator as well, moreover, if $\tau_G(X)$ from the Shatten-von Neumann class S_p [14], then $\tau_G(X) \in S_p$.*

Proof. Equalities in (6) follow from (2.5), Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, (11) follows from Corollary 2.7. If $\xi > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_G(\xi X) &= (G+\xi X)^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{M}_{1/\xi}} (G+\xi X)^{1/2} \\ &= \xi((1/\xi)G+X)^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{M}_{1/\xi}} ((1/\xi)G+X)^{1/2} \\ &= \xi\tau_G(X).\end{aligned}$$

This proves (12).

If $\operatorname{ran} G_1^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G_2^{1/2}$, then

$$X^{1/2}g \in \operatorname{ran} G_1^{1/2} \iff X^{1/2}g \in \operatorname{ran} G_2^{1/2}.$$

Now from property (6) follows the equality $\tau_{G_1}(X) = \tau_{G_2}(X)$. Using (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{G_1}(G_2+X) &= \tau_{G_2}(G_2+X) = \tau_{G_2}(X) \\ &= \tau_{G_1}(X) = \tau_{G_1}(G_1+X) = \tau_{G_2}(G_1+X).\end{aligned}$$

So, property (13) is proved. If $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} G_2^{1/2}$, then

$$X^{1/2}g \in \operatorname{ran} G_1^{1/2} \Longrightarrow X^{1/2}g \in \operatorname{ran} G_2^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{L}_1 &= \mathcal{H} \ominus \left\{ \operatorname{clos} \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H} : X^{1/2}g \in \operatorname{ran} G_1^{1/2} \right\} \right\} \\ &\supseteq \mathfrak{L}_2 = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left\{ \operatorname{clos} \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H} : X^{1/2}g \in \operatorname{ran} G_2^{1/2} \right\} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau_{G_1}(X) = X^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{L}_1} X^{1/2} \geq X^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{L}_2} X^{1/2} = \tau_{G_2}(X).$$

If X is compact operator, then from $\tau_G(X) = X^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{L}} X^{1/2}$ it follows that $\tau_G(X)$ is compact operator. If $X \in S_p$, where $p \geq 1$ and S_p is Shatten–von Neumann ideal, then from $X^{1/2}, P_{\mathfrak{L}} X^{1/2} \in S_{2p}$ follows that $X^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{L}} X^{1/2} \in S_p$ [14, page 92]. □

Remark 3.2. *Given $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. All $\widetilde{G} \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\operatorname{ran} \widetilde{G}^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ are of the form*

$$\widetilde{G} = G^{1/2} Q G^{1/2},$$

where $Q, Q^{-1} \in \mathbf{B}^+(\overline{\operatorname{ran}} G)$.

Remark 3.3. *Let $G, \widetilde{G} \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ and $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} \widetilde{G}^{1/2}$. The equalities*

$$\tau_G(\widetilde{G}+X) = (\widetilde{G}+X)^{1/2} \widetilde{P} (\widetilde{G}+X)^{1/2} = \tau_G(X) = X^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{L}} X^{1/2},$$

where $\widetilde{P}$ *is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace*

$$\mathcal{H} \ominus \operatorname{clos}\left\{f \in \mathcal{H} : (\widetilde{G}+X)^{1/2} f \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\},$$

see (3.3) *and* (3.5)*, show that* $\tau_G(X)$ *is an extreme point of the operator interval* $[0, X]$ *and operator intervals* $[0, \widetilde{G}+X]$ *cf.* [4].

Remark 3.4. *Let* $G, X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$*,* $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} = \{0\}$*. From properties (13) and (16) in Theorem 3.1 follows that if the equality*

$$\operatorname{ran}(G+X)^{1/2} \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,((G+X)^{-1/2} G^{1/2}) = \{0\}$$

holds true, then it remains valid if G *is replaced by* $\widetilde{G}$ *such that* $\operatorname{ran} \widetilde{G}^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$.

Proposition 3.5. *1) Assume* $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$*. (a) If* $X : G \neq 0$*, then* $\left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X)\right) : G \neq 0$ *for all* n*.*

b) If $X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$*, then* $\mu_G^{[n]}(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *for all* n*. Moreover, if* $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \supseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$*, then* $\operatorname{ran}\left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X)\right)^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2}$ *for all* n*.*

2) If $G \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$*, then* $\mu_G^{[n]}(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$

$$\operatorname{ran}\left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X)\right)^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{clos}\left\{\left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X)\right)^{-1/2} \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\} = \{0\}, \tag{3.7}$$

in particular, $\left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X)\right)^2 : G = 0$ *(* $\Longleftrightarrow$ $\operatorname{ran} \mu_G^{[n]}(X) \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$*) for all* n*.*

Proof. Due to the property $\tau_G(\mu_G(X)) = \tau_G(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$, it is sufficient to prove that the assertions of proposition hold for $n = 1$. Let $\mathcal{H}_0 = \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G+X)$. There exists $M \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}_0)$ such that

$$X = (G+X)^{1/2} M (G+X)^{1/2},\ G = (G+X)^{1/2}(I-M)(G+X)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_G(X) &= X - X : G \\ &= (G+X)^{1/2} M (G+X)^{1/2} - (G+X)^{1/2} M (I-M)(G+X)^{1/2} \\ &= (G+X)^{1/2} M^2 (G+X)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\operatorname{ran}\,(\mu_G(X))^{1/2} = (G+X)^{1/2} \operatorname{ran} M.$$

Because $X : G \neq 0$, we have $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \neq \{0\}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{ran} M^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran}(I-M)^{1/2} \neq \{0\}.$$

This means that there are $f, h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $M^{1/2}f = (I-M)^{1/2}h$. Hence

$$Mf = (I-M)^{1/2}M^{1/2}h.$$

Since $\operatorname{ran}(X:G)^{1/2} = (G+X)^{1/2}\operatorname{ran}(M-M^2)^{1/2}$, we get

$$\operatorname{ran}\,(\mu_G(X))^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran}(X:G)^{1/2} \neq \{0\}.$$

But $\operatorname{ran}(X:G)^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$. Hence $\mu_G(X) : G \neq 0$.

Clearly

$$\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \supseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \iff \operatorname{ran} M^{1/2} \supseteq \operatorname{ran}(I-M)^{1/2} \iff \operatorname{ran} M = \mathcal{H}_0.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{ran}\,(\mu_G(X))^{1/2} = (G+X)^{1/2}\operatorname{ran} M = \operatorname{ran}(G+X)^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \supseteq \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}.$$

If $\ker X = \{0\}$, then $\ker(G+X) = \{0\}$ and $\operatorname{ran}(G+X)^{1/2} \cap \ker M = \{0\}$. It follows that $\operatorname{ran}(G+X)^{1/2} \cap \ker M^2 = \{0\}$. Hence $\ker \mu_G(X) = \{0\}$.

Since $\tau_G(\mu_G(X)) = \tau_G(X)$ and $\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ implies $\ker X = \{0\}$ and $X^2 : G = 0$, see Theorem 2.8, we get

$$\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \Longrightarrow \ker \mu_G(X) = \{0\},\ (\mu_G(X))^2 : G = 0.$$

□

Remark 3.6. *Let $G \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$. Assume that $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \supset \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ and $\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$. Denoting $\mathfrak{M}_n = \operatorname{clos}\left\{\left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X)\right)^{-1/2} \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\}$, one obtains from (3.7) that*

$$\mathfrak{M}_n \cap \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} = \mathfrak{M}_n^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} = \{0\}\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

These relations yield

$$\mathfrak{M}_n \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \mathfrak{M}_n^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $J_n = P_{\mathfrak{M}_n} - P_{\mathfrak{M}_n^{\perp}} = 2P_{\mathfrak{M}_n} - I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $J_n = J_n^* = J_n^{-1}$ (J_n is a fundamental symmetry in $\mathcal{H}$ for each natural number n), and

$$\operatorname{ran}(J_n G^{1/2} J_n) \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

cf. [7], [24].

Let $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. Set

$$\mathbf{B}^+_G(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{Y \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) : \operatorname{ran} Y^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}\right\}.$$

Observe that $Y \in \mathbf{B}^+_G(\mathcal{H}) \Longrightarrow Y^{1/2}QY^{1/2} \in \mathbf{B}^+_G(\mathcal{H})$ for an arbitrary $Q \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. The cone $\mathbf{B}^+_G(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all fixed points of the mappings μ_G and τ_G. In addition

$$\mathbf{B}^+_G(\mathcal{H}) = \tau_G(\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})).$$

Actually, property (13) in Theorem 3.1 shows that if $Y \in \mathbf{B}^+_G(\mathcal{H})$, then for each $\widetilde{G} \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\operatorname{ran} \widetilde{G}^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$, the operator $Y + \widetilde{G}$ is contained in the pre-image $\tau_G^{-1}\{Y\}$, i.e., the equality

$$\tau_G(\widetilde{G} + Y) = Y = (\widetilde{G} + Y)^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{M}_{\widetilde{G}}} (\widetilde{G} + Y)^{1/2}$$

holds, where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\widetilde{G}} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \{g \in \mathcal{H} : (\widetilde{G} + Y)^{1/2} g \in \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\}.$$

In particular,

$$\tau_G(\widetilde{G} + \tau_G(X)) = \tau_G(X),\ \forall X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}).$$

Thus, the operator $\widetilde{G} + Y$ is contained in the *basin of attraction* of the fixed point Y of the mapping μ_G for an arbitrary $\widetilde{G} \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\operatorname{ran} \widetilde{G}^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$. In addition since $\operatorname{ran} (\widetilde{G} + Y)^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \dot{+} \operatorname{ran} Y^{1/2}$, the statement 1 b) of Proposition 3.5 yields that

$$\operatorname{ran} \left(\mu_G^{[n]}(\widetilde{G} + Y)\right)^{1/2} = const \supset \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

4. Lebesgue type decomposition of nonnegative operators and the mapping τ_G

Let $A \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. T. Ando in [3] introduced and studied the mapping

$$\mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \ni B \mapsto [A]B \stackrel{def}{=} s - \lim_{n\to\infty} (nA : B) \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}).$$

The decomposition

$$B = [A]B + (B - [A]B)$$

provides the representation of B as the sum of A-*absolutely continuous* ($[A]B$) and A-*singular* ($(B - [A]B)$ parts of B [3]. An operator $C \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ is called A-absolutely continuous [3] if there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{C_n\} \subset \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ such that $C = s - \lim_{n\to\infty} C_n$ and $C_n \le \alpha_n A$ for some α_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($\Longleftrightarrow$ $\operatorname{ran} C_n^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} A^{1/2}$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$). An operator $C \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ is called A-singular if the intersections of operator intervals $[0, C]$ and $[0, A]$ is the trivial operator ($[0, C] \cap [0, A] = 0$). Moreover, the operator $[A]B$ is maximum among

all A-absolutely continuous nonnegative operators C with $C \le B$. The decomposition of B on A-absolutely continuous and A-singular parts is generally non-unique. Ando in [3] proved that uniqueness holds if and only if $\operatorname{ran}([A]B)^{1/2} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} A^{1/2}$. Set

$$\Omega_A^B \stackrel{def}{=} \operatorname{clos}\left\{f \in \mathcal{H} : B^{1/2} f \in \operatorname{ran} A^{1/2}\right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

It is established in [3] that the following conditions are equivalent

(i) B is A-absolutely continuous,
(ii) $[A]B = B$,
(iii) $\Omega_A^B = \mathcal{H}$.

In [22] (see also [15]) the formula

$$[A]B = B^{1/2} P_{\Omega_A^B} B^{1/2} \tag{4.2}$$

has been established. Hence the operator $[A]B$ possesses the following property, see [22]:

$$\max\left\{Y \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) : 0 \le Y \le B,\ \operatorname{clos}\{Y^{-1/2}(\operatorname{ran} A^{1/2})\} = \mathcal{H}\right\} = [A]B.$$

The notation $B_{\operatorname{ran} A^{1/2}}$ and the name *convolution on the operator domain* was used for $[A]B$ in [22]. Notice that from (4.2) it follows the equalities

$$\operatorname{ran}\,([A]B)^{1/2} = B^{1/2}\Omega_A^B,$$
$$B - [A]B = B^{1/2}(I - P_{\Omega_A^B})B^{1/2},$$
$$[A]B : (B - [A]B) = 0,\ A : (B - [A]B) = 0.$$

In addition due to (1.1), (4.1), and (4.2):

(1) $[A](\lambda B) = \lambda\,([A]B)\,,\ \lambda > 0$,
(2) $\operatorname{ran} \widetilde{A}^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} A^{1/2} \Longrightarrow [\widetilde{A}]B = [A]B$ for all $B \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$,
(3) $[A : B]B = [A]B$.

Theorem 4.1. (1) *Let $G \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. Then for each $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ the equality*

$$\tau_G(X) = X - [G]X \tag{4.3}$$

holds. Therefore, $\tau_G(X) = 0$ if and only if X is G-absolutely continuous. In addition $\tau_G([G]X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$. If $\operatorname{ran} \widetilde{G}^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ for some $\widetilde{G} \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\tau_G(X) = X - [\widetilde{G}]X = \widetilde{G} + X - [G](\widetilde{G} + X). \tag{4.4}$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{G} = [G](\widetilde{G} + X) - [G](X), \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$X - \tau_G(X) = [G](\widetilde{G} + X) - \widetilde{G}. \tag{4.6}$$

In addition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mu_G^{[n]}(X) : G\right) = [G]X, \ \forall X \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H}). \tag{4.7}$$

(2) *The following inequality is valid for an arbitrary* $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbf{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$*:*

$$\tau_G(X_1 + X_2) \le \tau_G(X_1) + \tau_G(X_2). \tag{4.8}$$

(3) *the following statements are equivalent:*
- (a) $\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$,
- (b) $X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $([G]X) : X^2 = 0$,
- (c) $G + X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ *and* $[G](G + X) : (G + X)^2 = 0$.

Proof. (1) From (4.1), (4.2), and Theorem 3.1 we get equalities

$$\tau_G(X) = X^{1/2}(I - P_{\Omega_G^X})X^{1/2} = X - [G]X,$$
$$\tau_G(\widetilde{G}+X) = (\widetilde{G}+X)^{1/2}(I-P_{\Omega_G^{\widetilde{G}+X}})(\widetilde{G}+X)^{1/2} = \widetilde{G}+X-[G](\widetilde{G}+X).$$

Then (4.4), (4.5), and (4.6) follow from the equalities $\tau_G(X) = \tau_{\widetilde{G}}[X] = \tau_G(\widetilde{G} + X)$. Since $[G]([G]X) = [G]X$, we get $\tau_G([G]X) = 0$.

Note that using the equality $[G](X + \alpha G) = [G]X + \alpha G$ [21, Lemma 1] and the equality

$$\tau_G(\alpha G + X) = \alpha G + X - [G](\alpha G + X),$$

we get $\tau_G(\alpha G + X) = X - [G]X = \tau_G(X)$.

Equation (4.7) follows from (3.2) and (4.3).

(2) Inequality (4.8) follows from the inequality, see [12],

$$[G](X_1 + X_2) \ge [G]X_1 + [G]X_2$$

and equality (4.3).

(3) From (4.1) and statements (16a) and (16d) of Theorem 3.1 it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_G(X) \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) &\iff X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_G^X \cap \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} = \{0\} \\
&\iff X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad X^{1/2}\Omega_G^X \cap \operatorname{ran} X = \{0\} \\
&\iff X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ran}\,([G]X))^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} X = \{0\} \\
&\iff X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad ([G]X) : X^2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Further we use the equality $\tau_G(X) = \tau_G(G + X)$, see statement (13) of Theorem 3.1. □

5. The mappings $\{\mu_G^{[n]}\}$, τ_G, and intersections of domains of unbounded self-adjoint operators

Let A be an unbounded self-adjoint operator in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. J.von Neumann [20, Satz 18] established that if $\mathcal{H}$ is *separable*, then there is a self-adjoint operator unitary equivalent to A such that its domain has trivial intersection with the domain of A. Another proof of this result was proposed by J. Dixmier in [9], see also [13, Theorem 3.6]. In the case of *nonseparable* Hilbert space in [11] it is constructed an example of unbounded self-adjoint operator A such that for any unitary U one has $\operatorname{dom}(U^*AU) \cap \operatorname{dom} A \neq \{0\}$. So, in general, the von Neumann theorem does not hold. It is established in [11, Theorem 4.6], that the following are equivalent for a dense operator range $\mathcal{R}$ (the image of a bounded nonnegative self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ [13]) in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space:

(i) there is a unitary operator U such that $U\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R} = \{0\}$;
(ii) for every subspace (closed linear manifold) $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}$ one has $\dim \mathcal{K} \leq \dim \mathcal{K}^\perp$.

In the theorem below we suggest another several statements equivalent to the von Neumann's theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space and let A be an unbounded self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent*

(1) *there exists a unitary operator U in $\mathcal{H}$ such that*
$$\operatorname{dom}(U^*AU) \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\};$$
(2) *there exists an unbounded self-adjoint operator S in $\mathcal{H}$ such that*
$$\operatorname{dom} S \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\};$$
(3) *there exists a fundamental symmetry J in $\mathcal{H}$ ($J = J^* = J^{-1}$) such that*
$$\operatorname{dom}(JAJ) \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\};$$
(4) *there exists a subspace $\mathfrak{M}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that*
$$\mathfrak{M} \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \mathfrak{M}^\perp \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\};$$
(5) *there exists a positive definite self-adjoint operator B in $\mathcal{H}$ such that*
$$\operatorname{dom} B \supset \operatorname{dom} A \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{clos}\{B\operatorname{dom} A\} \cap \operatorname{dom} B = \{0\},$$

(6) *there exists a closed densely defined restriction A_0 of A such that* $\operatorname{dom}(AA_0) = \{0\}$ *(this yields, in particular,* $\operatorname{dom} A_0^2 = \{0\}$*).*

Proof. Let $|A| = \sqrt{A^2}$. Set $G = (|A| + I)^{-2}$. Then $G \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ and $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \operatorname{dom} A$.

According to [7, Proposition 3.1.] the following assertion for the operator range $\mathcal{R}$ are equivalent

(i) There exists in $\mathcal{H}$ an orthogonal projection P such that

$$\operatorname{ran} P \cap \mathcal{R} = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ran}(I - P) \cap \mathcal{R} = \{0\} .$$

(ii) There exists in $\mathcal{H}$ a fundamental symmetry J such that

$$J\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R} = \{0\} .$$

Now we will prove that (2)$\Longrightarrow$(1), (3), (4), (5). The existence of self-adjoint S with the property $\operatorname{dom} S \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$ implies the existence of $F \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\operatorname{ran} F^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$ (for example, take $F = (|S| + I)^{-2}$). Then the equality $F : G = 0$ yields, see Proposition 1.2 that

$$G = (G + F)^{1/2} P (G + F)^{1/2}, \ F = (G + F)^{1/2}(I - P)(G + F)^{1/2},$$

where P is orthogonal projection in $\mathcal{H}$. The equalities $\ker G = \ker F = \{0\}$ imply

$$\operatorname{ran} P \cap \operatorname{ran}(G + F)^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran}(I - P) \cap \operatorname{ran}(G + F)^{1/2} = \{0\}.$$

Since $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \subset \operatorname{ran}(G + F)^{1/2}$, we get

$$\operatorname{ran} P \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran}(I - P) \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{M} = \operatorname{ran} P$, then holds (4). Put $J = P - (I - P) = 2P - I$. The operator J is fundamental symmetry and $J\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$. This gives (3).

Since $\ker F = \{0\}$ and $F = \tau_G(F) = \tau_G(G + F)$, using Theorem 3.1, equalities (3.3), (3.4), and Theorem 2.8 we obtain

$$\operatorname{ran}(G + F)^{1/2} \cap \overline{\operatorname{ran}}\,(G + F)^{-1/2} G^{1/2} = \{0\}.$$

Denoting $B = (G + F)^{-1/2}$, we arrive to (5).

Let us proof (5)$\Longrightarrow$(2). Set $X = B^{-2}$. Then $\operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \supset \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}$ and

$$X \in \mathbf{B}_0^+(\mathcal{H}), \ \operatorname{ran} X^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{clos}\left\{X^{-1/2} \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\right\} = \{0\}.$$

The equivalence of conditions (16a) and (16d) of Theorem 3.1 implies $\ker \tau_G(X) = \{0\}$. Since the operator $Y = \tau_G(X)$ possesses the property $\operatorname{ran} Y^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \{0\}$, we get for $S = Y^{-2}$ that $\operatorname{dom} S \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$.

Now we are going to prove (4) $\iff$ (6). Suppose (6) is valid, i.e., A_0 is closed densely defined restriction of A such that $\operatorname{dom}(AA_0) = \{0\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = (A - iI)(A + iI)^{-1}$$

be the Cayley transform of A. $\mathcal{U}$ is a unitary operator and

$$A = i(I + \mathcal{U})(I - \mathcal{U})^{-1},\ \operatorname{dom} A = \operatorname{ran}(I - \mathcal{U}), \operatorname{ran} A = \operatorname{ran}(I + \mathcal{U}).$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_0 = (A_0 - iI)(A_0 + iI)^{-1}$ be the Cayley transform of A_0. Set $\mathfrak{M} \stackrel{def}{=} \operatorname{ran}(A_0 + iI)$. Then $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}\restriction\mathfrak{M}$,

$$\operatorname{dom} A_0 = \operatorname{ran}(I - \mathcal{U}_0) = (I - \mathcal{U})\mathfrak{M},$$
$$\operatorname{ran} A_0 = \operatorname{ran}(I + \mathcal{U}_0) = (I + \mathcal{U})\mathfrak{M}.$$

Because $\operatorname{dom} A_0$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\mathfrak{M}^\perp \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$. The equality $\operatorname{dom}(AA_0) = \{0\}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{ran} A_0 \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}, \\ \ker A_0 = \{0\} \end{cases} .$$

The latter two equalities are equivalent to $\mathfrak{M} \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$. Thus (4) holds. If (4) holds, then define the symmetric restriction A_0 as follows

$$\operatorname{dom} A_0 = (I - \mathcal{U})\mathfrak{M},\ A_0 = A\restriction \operatorname{dom} A_0$$

we get $\operatorname{dom}(AA_0) = \{0\}$. The proof is complete.

□

Let us make a few remarks.

Remark 5.2. *If (5) is true, then*

(1) *the more simple proof of the implication (5)⇒(2) is the observation that (5) implies* $\operatorname{dom} B^2 \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$*;*

(2) *taking into account that* B^{-1} *is bounded and* $\operatorname{dom} A$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{H}$*, we get*

$$(\mathcal{H} \ominus \operatorname{clos}\{B\operatorname{dom} A\}) \cap \operatorname{dom} B = \{0\},$$

if we set $\mathfrak{M} \stackrel{def}{=} \operatorname{clos}\{B\operatorname{dom} A\}$*, we see that the inclusion* $\operatorname{dom} A \subset \operatorname{dom} B$ *implies (4), i.e., this is one more way to prove (5)⇒(4) and (5)⇒(6);*

(3) *using the proof of Theorem 5.1 and equalities* (3.5) *and* (3.6)*, we see that the operator* $S \stackrel{def}{=} (B^{-1}P_{\mathfrak{M}^\perp}B^{-1})^{-1}$ *is well defined, self-adjoint positive definite, and* $\operatorname{dom} S \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$*;*

(4) *denoting* $B_0 = B\restriction \operatorname{dom} A$ *and taking the closure of* B_0, *we get the closed densely defined positive definite symmetric operator* $\bar{B}_0$ *(a closed restriction of* B*) such that*

$$\operatorname{dom}(B\bar{B}_0) = \{0\}.$$

Remark 5.3. *In the case of an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space be separable. K. Schmüdgen in* [24, Theorem 5.1] *established the validity of assertion (4) for an arbitrary* A. *In* [7] *using parallel addition of operators it is shown that validity (2) for an arbitrary unbounded self-adjoint* A *implies (4).*

The first construction of a densely defined closed symmetric operator T *such that* $\operatorname{dom} T^2 = \{0\}$ *was given by M.A. Naimark* [18], [19]. *In* [8] *P. Chernoff gave an example of semi-bounded from bellow symmetric* T *whose square has trivial domain. K. Schmüdgen in* [24, Theorem 5.2] *proved that each unbounded self-adjoint operator* H *has two closed densely defined restrictions* H_1 *and* H_2 *such that*

$$\operatorname{dom} H_1 \cap \operatorname{dom} H_2 = \{0\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{dom} H_1^2 = \operatorname{dom} H_2^2 = \{0\}.$$

In [6] *the abstract approach to the construction of examples of nonnegative self-adjoint operators* $\mathcal{L}$ *and their closed densely defined restrictions* $\mathcal{L}_0$ *such that* $\operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}_0) = \{0\}$ *has been proposed. In* [7, Theorem 3.33] *it is established that each unbounded self-adjoint* A *has two closed densely defined restrictions* A_1 *and* A_2 *possessing properties*

$$\operatorname{dom} A_1 \dot{+} \operatorname{dom} A_2 = \operatorname{dom} A,\ \operatorname{dom}(AA_1) = \operatorname{dom}(AA_2) = \{0\},$$
$$\operatorname{dom} A_1 \cap \operatorname{dom} A^2 = \operatorname{dom} A_2 \cap \operatorname{dom} A^2 = \{0\}.$$

M. Sauter in the e-mail communication with the author suggested another proof of the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1. His proof is essentially relied on the methods developed in the paper [11].

We conclude this paper by the theorem related to the assertions (2) and (5) of Theorem 5.1. The proof is based on the properties of the mappings $\{\mu_G^{[n]}\}$ and τ_G.

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $\mathcal{H}$ *be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space and let* A *be unbounded self-adjoint operator in* $\mathcal{H}$. *Then for each positive definite self-adjoint operator* S *such that* $\operatorname{dom} S \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$ *there exists a sequence* $\{S_n\}$ *of positive definite operators possessing properties*

- $\operatorname{dom} S_n = \operatorname{dom} S \dot{+} \operatorname{dom} A\ \forall n$,
- $\operatorname{clos}\{S_n \operatorname{dom} A\} \cap \operatorname{dom} S_n = \{0\}\ \forall n$,
- $\operatorname{dom} S_n^2 \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}\ \forall n$,

- *if* $\mathfrak{L}_n = \mathcal{H} \ominus \operatorname{clos}\{S_n \operatorname{dom} A\}$, *then* $S = (S_n^{-1} P_{\mathfrak{L}_n} S_n^{-1})^{-1}\ \forall n$,
- *for each* $f \in \operatorname{dom} S \dot{+} \operatorname{dom} A$ *the sequence* $\{||S_n f||\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *is non-decreasing,*
- $\operatorname{dom} S = \left\{ f : \sup\limits_{n \geq 1} ||S_n f|| < \infty \right\}$,
- $\mathrm{s-R-}\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} S_n = S$, *where* $\mathrm{s-R}$ *is the strong resolvent limit of operators* [16, Chapter 8, §1].

Proof. Let $G \stackrel{def}{=} (|A| + I)^{-2}$, $F \stackrel{def}{=} S^{-2}$. Then $\operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} = \operatorname{dom} A$, $\operatorname{ran} F^{1/2} = \operatorname{dom} S$. According to Theorem 3.1 the equalities

$$F = \tau_G(F) = \tau_G(G + F)$$

are valid. Set

$$F_n = \mu_G^{[n]}(G + F), n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Then $\{F_n\}$ is non-increasing sequence of operators, $\tau_G(F_n) = F$, and

$$s - \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n = F.$$

Due to the Löwner-Heinz inequality we have that the sequence of operators $\{F_n^{1/2}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is non-increasing. In addition

$$s - \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n^{1/2} = F^{1/2}.$$

Since $\operatorname{ran} F_0^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \dot{+} \operatorname{ran} F^{1/2}$, Proposition 3.5 yields $\operatorname{ran} F_n^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \dot{+} \operatorname{ran} F^{1/2}$ for all natural numbers n. Now define

$$S_n = F_n^{-1/2},\ n = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Then for all n:

$$\operatorname{dom} S_n = \operatorname{ran} F_n^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2} \dot{+} \operatorname{ran} F^{1/2} = \operatorname{dom} A \dot{+} \operatorname{dom} S,$$

the sequences of unbounded nonnegative self-adjoint operators $\{S_n^2\}$ and $\{S_n\}$ are non-decreasing,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n^{-1} = S^{-1},\ \lim_{n\to\infty} S_n^{-2} = S^{-2}.$$

The latter means, that

$$\mathrm{s-R-}\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n = S,\ \mathrm{s-R-}\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n^2 = S^2.$$

Taking into account that $\tau_G(F_n) = F$ and using statement 2) of Proposition 3.5 we conclude that the equality

$$\overline{\operatorname{ran}}\, F_n^{1/2} \cap \operatorname{clos}\{F_n^{-1/2} \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\} = \{0\}$$

holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\operatorname{clos}\{S_n \operatorname{dom} A\} \cap \operatorname{dom} S_n = \{0\}$ and $\operatorname{dom} S_n^2 \cap \operatorname{dom} A = \{0\}$ for all natural numbers n. Set

$$\mathfrak{L}_n := \mathcal{H} \ominus \operatorname{clos}\{S_n \operatorname{dom} A\} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \operatorname{clos}\{F_n^{-1/2} \operatorname{ran} G^{1/2}\}.$$

Taking in mind the equality (see (3.5) and (3.6))

$$F = \tau_G(F_n) = F_n^{1/2} P_{\mathfrak{L}_n} F_n^{1/2},$$

we get $S = (S_n^{-1} P_{\mathfrak{L}_n} S_n^{-1})^{-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f \in \operatorname{dom} S = \operatorname{ran} F^{1/2}$. Since $F_n \geq F$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $F_n^{-1} \leq F^{-1}$, i.e., $||S_n f|| \leq ||Sf||$ for all n.

Suppose that $||S_n f|| \leq C$ for all n. Then there exists a subsequence of vectors $\{S_{n_k} f\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ that converges weakly to some vector φ in $\mathcal{H}$, i.e,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} (S_{n_k} f, h) = (\varphi, h) \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Further for all $g \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(f, g) = (F_{n_k}^{1/2} S_{n_k} f, g) = (S_{n_k} f, F_{n_k}^{1/2} g)$$
$$= (S_{n_k} f, F^{1/2} g) + (S_{n_k} f, F_{n_k}^{1/2} g - F^{1/2} g) \to (\varphi, F^{1/2} g) = (F^{1/2} \varphi, g).$$

It follows that $f \in \operatorname{dom} S$.

Thus, we arrive to the equality $\operatorname{dom} S = \left\{ f : \sup_{n\geq 1} ||S_n f|| < \infty \right\}$.

The proof is complete. □

References

[1] W.N. Anderson and R.J. Duffin, *Series and parallel addition of matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **26** (1969), 576-594.

[2] W.N. Anderson and G.E. Trapp, *Shorted operators, II.* SIAM J. Appl. Math., **28** (1975), 60–71.

[3] T. Ando, *Lebesgue type decomposition of positive operators*, Acta Sci.Math. (Szeged) **38** (1976), 253–260.

[4] T. Ando, *Extreme points of an intersection of operator intervals*, Proc Inter. Math Conf.'94 Kaohsing, Taiwan, p.1–18, World Scientific, 1996.

[5] Yu.M. Arlinskiĭ, *On the theory of operator means*, Ukr. Mat. Zh., **42** (1990), No.6, 723–730 (in Russian). English translation in Ukr. Math. Journ., **42** (1990), No.6, 639–645.

[6] Yu. Arlinskiĭ and Yu. Kovalev, *Factorizations of nonnegative symmetric operators*, Methods of Funct. Anal. and Topol., **19** (2013), No.3. 211–226.

[7] Yu. Arlinskiĭ and V. Zagrebnov, *Around the Van Daele–Schmüdgen theorem*, Integ. Equat. and Oper. Theory, **81** (2015), No.1, 53–95.

[8] P.R. Chernoff, *A semibounded closed symmetric operator whose square has trivial domain*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **89** (1983), 289–290,

[9] J. Dixmier, *Etude sur les varietes et les operateurs de Julia*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **77** (1949), 11–101.

[10] R.G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 413–416.

[11] A.F.M. ter Elst and M. Sauter, *Nonseparability and von Neumann's theorem for domains of unbounded operators*, arXiv:1504.07790v1, 2015.

[12] S.L. Eriksson and H. Leutwiler, *A potential-theoretic approach to parallel addition*, Math. Ann. **274** (1986), 301–317.

[13] P.A. Fillmore and J.P. Williams, *On operator ranges*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 254–281.
[14] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Kreĭn, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonself-adjoint Operators* (Translations of Mathematical Monographs), American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1969.
[15] H. Kosaki, *Remarks on Lebesgue type decomposition of positive operators*, Journ. Oper. Theory **11** (1984), 137–143.
[16] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1995, 619 pages.
[17] F. Kubo and T. Ando, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann. **246**(1980), No.3 , 205–224.
[18] M.A. Naĭmark, *On the square of a closed symmetric operator*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **26** (1940), 863–867 (in Russian).
[19] M.A. Naĭmark, *Supplement to the paper "On the square of a closed symmetric operator"*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR, **28** (1940), 206–208 (in Russian).
[20] J. von Neumann, *Zur Theorie der UnbeschränktenMatrizen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **161** (1929), 208–236.
[21] K. Nishio, *Characterization of Lebesgue-type decomposition of positive operator*, Acta Sci. Math., 42 (1980), 143–152.
[22] E.L. Pekarev, *Convolutions onto an operator domain*, Funkts. Analiz i Ego Prilozhen. **12** (1978), No.3, 87–88 (in Russian). English translattion in Funct. Anal. Appl. **12** (1979), 230-231 .
[23] E.L. Pekarev and Ju.L. Smulyan, *Parallel addition and parallel subtraction of operators*, Izv. AN SSSR **40** (1976), 366–387 (in Russian). English translation in Math. USSR Izv. **10** (1976), 289–337.
[24] K. Schmüdgen, *On domains of powers of closed symmetric operators*, J. Oper. Theory, **9** (1983), 53–75.

Department of Mathematical Analysis, East Ukrainian National University, Prospect Radyanskii, 59-a, Severodonetsk, 93400, Ukraine, and Department of Mathematics, Dragomanov National Pedagogical University, Kiev, Pirogova 9, 01601, Ukraine

E-mail address: yury.arlinskii@gmail.com